Exhibit 22

September 5, 2025 Notarized Statement of [redacted]

This exhibit consists of the September 5, 2025 notarized statement of [redacted]

The statement addresses the initial Rockler transaction and related communications.

[redacted]

Date: September 5, 2025

To Whom It May Concern:

I, [redacted] make the following voluntary statement to the best of my current recollection and belief, understanding that it may be used in a pending matter. I provide this statement voluntarily and without waiving any legal privileges or rights, including, without limitation, my constitutional right against self-incrimination and marital communications privilege as codified in § 90.504, Fla. Stat.

My husband, Bryan A. Lober, formerly held public office. Several years ago, during his time in that elected position, he asked me to place an order for certain materials. At the time, I misunderstood or misheard the nature of the request and believed the order was being placed for his official governmental duties, rather than for campaign purposes. I now understand that the materials were in fact intended for campaign use.

He provided me with payment card details, which I understood to be associated with his government office. I do not recall having physical possession of the card. When I entered the card information online, to the best of my present recollection, I believe the billing address may have been auto-filled by the browser based on previously stored information. Believing the order was for his official role, I designated his government office as the shipping address and entered his official government office phone number, (321) 454-6601 as the contact number. This number rang all of the phones at my husband's government office and, to my understanding, no other phones. I point the latter out as I understand FDLE incorrectly identified, in its written investigative summary, this to be "his personal phone number" thereby implying improper intent. Please be aware that it was this very same number that the vendor called as referenced in the investigative summary.

I also sent an email to the vendor requesting that the office's government-issued tax exemption certificate be applied. My actions were based on the belief that I was helping with an official purchase. In retrospect, I believe I misheard my husband. He likely said "for the D2 campaign," which I misinterpreted it or misheard as "for D2," which I reasonably associated with his official district office duties. While I cannot recall the exact phrasing, this is my best good-faith recollection.

My husband and I have been married for over 13 years. From early in our relationship, I have regularly assisted him with both professional and political responsibilities. For example, in or around 2011, while he was employed as an attorney for the State of Florida, I helped him organize witnesses for an evidentiary hearing or trial. A colleague of my husband at the time, Christopher J. Bove, expressed concern about my involvement in that process, though we viewed such teamwork as consistent with our longstanding partnership. Despite such occasional criticism, we have consistently collaborated in various aspects of his work. It has also been somewhat routine for us to make calls as well as send texts and emails on each other's behalf and use one another's email accounts when assisting one another.

Both my husband and I are licensed attorneys in good standing. He has practiced law for nearly 15 years, and I have practiced for approximately 14 years. We are both in our 40s and have never before been accused of, investigated for, or disciplined in connection with any similar matter. As a duly licensed attorney in good standing, I understand the importance of complying with ethical and legal standards, which is why I take this matter seriously and wish to clarify my role in good faith. Neither my husband nor I would ever jeopardize our reputations – least of all to gain roughly $120. Putting aside my word, it is objectively verifiable public record as reflected in timely filed DS-DE 2 campaign finance reports that my husband's campaign returned uncashed and undeposited contribution limit checks ($1000 each) received from PACs both before and after the transaction in question, demonstrating that there could not have been a financial motive for wrongdoing.

Given the nature of our working relationship, and my belief at the time, I acted in good faith and without any intent to misuse public resources or misrepresent the purpose of the order. I regret the confusion that resulted from my misunderstanding or mishearing.

No one from the Clerk's Office, FDLE, or any other agency has ever previously asked me about the subject matter of this letter.

This statement is made voluntarily and without coercion. While I make no admission of wrongdoing, I respectfully reserve all rights and legal defenses available to me. This statement is intended solely to provide factual clarification based on my present understanding and recollection.

Sincerely,

Signed by:
[redacted]

State of ~~Florida~~ Virginia

County of James City

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me by means of ☐ physical presence or ☑ online notarization this fifth (5th) day of September, 2025, by [redacted] who ☐ is personally known to me or ☑ has produced her U.S. Passport as identification.

TONI JOSETTE WRIGHT
Electronic Notary Public
Commonwealth of Virginia
Registration No. 7535126
My Commission Expires Jun 30, 2028

Notary Public Signature: _____

Printed Name of Notary: Toni Josette Wright

Commission Number: 7535126

My Commission Expires: 06/30/2028